

Introduction

Fast, reliable and generic methods are required for drug to antibody ratio (DAR) determination in an ADC development laboratory. In an effort to establish such a method, we have expanded on a previously developed "middle-up" workflow for determination of DAR by liquid chromatography- mass spectrometry (LC-MS). We demonstrate application of the workflow to a range of stochastically conjugated ADCs with DARs from 1 – 8, incorporating auristatin, α -amanitin, duocarmycin, PBD dimer and DX8951 payloads.

Methods

Fc and F(ab)₂ subunits of antibody drug conjugates of two IgG1 antibodies (trastuzumab and MAB013) were generated by digestion and deglycosylation with IdeS (FabRICATOR®, Genovis) and Endo S (Remove-IT, New England Biolabs) for 1 hour at 37°C. The samples were then reduced for 15 min at 37°C to give 2x Fc/2, 2x Fd and 2x Light Chain (LC) subunits. A 2 x 10mm desalting cartridge and 5 min reversed phase gradient served to concentrate, desalt and co-elute ADC subunits into the source of the mass spectrometer. The LC-MS system included an ExionLCTM AD UHPLC system with UV-vis detector, coupled to a SCIEX X500B QTOF mass spectrometer (AB SciexTM Pte. Ltd.). Instrument control, data acquisition and deconvolution was performed with BioPharmaView 2.0 (AB SciexTM Pte. Ltd.).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the following for their assistance to this work:

○ Sibylle M. Heidelberger, Senior Application Support Specialist, Biologics, AB SciexTM Ltd.

AURISTATIN AND α -AMANITIN ADCS

Two IgG1 antibodies (trastuzumab and MAB013) were partially reduced with TCEP, using seven different molar equivalents of reductant to antibody. Once fully conjugated, this process would yield a range of conjugates of both antibodies with seven different average drug-to-antibody ratios (DARs), from 1.0 to 8.0. For the conjugation process the reduced antibodies were divided into three aliquots with were conjugated with an excess of either MC-vc-PAB-MMAE, MC-MMAF or MC-vc-PAB-C6- α -amanitin. DAR calculations were made for the vcMMAE conjugates with a well-developed HIC method. Using the same HIC method for MMAF or amanitin conjugate analysis gave poor resolution. All conjugates were analysed by LC-MS and the DAR calculated, with good correlation between the calculated DAR by HIC and the LC-MS DAR calculation for the ADCs across the DAR range, for each of the three payloads. Ions associated with MMAE and CO₂ loss (-762 Da) from ion-source fragmentation were observed.





Fig. 3 Middle-up deconvoluted MS data for MAB013 conjugates of amanitin, MMAF and MMAE.



Antibody	MMAE DAR	MMAF DAR	Amanitin DAR
Trastuzumab	HIC	LC-MS	LC-MS
	1.0	1.0	0.9
	2.0	1.8	2.1
	2.8	2.7	2.8
	3.7	3.4	3.9
	4.5	4.2	4.8
6.0	6.0	6.3	
7.3	7.3	7.1	
			8.0

Table 1 Summary of DAR calculation results for trastuzumab conjugates of MMAE, MMAF and amanitin by LC-MS.

Antibody	MMAE DAR	MMAF DAR	Amanitin DAR
MAB013	HIC	LC-MS	LC-MS
	1.0	0.9	1.0
	2.1	2.0	1.9
	3.3	3.1	3.3
	4.4	4.3	4.6
	4.8	4.5	5.0
6.9	6.6	6.9	
7.8	8.0	7.7	
			8.0

Table 2 Summary of DAR calculation results for MAB013 conjugates of MMAE, MMAF and amanitin by LC-MS.

DX8951 ADCS




Fig. 4 Deconvoluted MS data for trastuzumab-DX8951 conjugates (DAR 4.0 and 7.5 by RP-HPLC).





Fig. 5 RP-HPLC analysis of trastuzumab-DX8951 conjugates.

Trastuzumab conjugates of DX8951 can be well characterised by RP-HPLC and were also found to be compatible with the middle-up LC-MS DAR characterisation method at both DAR 4 and 8.



Antibody	Toxin-Linker	DAR (PLRP)	DAR (LC-MS)
Trastuzumab	MC-DX8951	4.0	4.1
		7.5	8.0

Table 3 DAR calculation summary for trastuzumab-DX8951 conjugate LC-MS analysis.

PBD AND DUOCARMYCIN ADCS

The method used for DAR by LC-MS analysis of auristatin, DX8951 and amanitin conjugates was applied to PBD (SG3249) and duocarmycin TM ADC at DAR 2.2–2.6 range. The LC-MS analysis of duocarmycin and PBDs conjugates within this DAR range were found to underestimate the DAR when compared to a RP-HPLC based characterisation. All conjugated Fd subunits for the duocarmycin conjugate were observed, but at lower relative intensities compared to the RP-HPLC analysis. The PBD ADCs gave poor recovery for conjugated Fd ions with >1 PBD per subunit, with no observed signal for Fd + 3 PBD. The poor Fd ion recovery may be part explained by signal dilution as a result of mass adducts; both expected, considering conjugate structure and chemistry, and unexpected as a result of sample preparation artefacts.

Antibody	Toxin-Linker	DAR (PLRP)	DAR (LC-MS)
Trastuzumab	Duocarmycin TM	4.0	4.1
		7.5	8.0
		4.0	4.1

Table 4 DAR calculation summary for PBD and duocarmycin conjugate LC-MS.






Fig. 6 % Area of heavy and light chain species in Tras-SG3249 (left) and Tras-DUO (right) conjugate as analysed by PLRP and LC-MS.




Fig. 7 RP-HPLC and deconvoluted subunit MS data of trastuzumab-SG3249, DAR 2.6.




Fig. 8 PLRP (left) and deconvoluted subunit MS data of trastuzumab-duocarmycin TM, DAR 2.2.

Conclusions

The middle-up approach of LC-MS for DAR characterisation of ADCs has been shown to be applicable for the analysis of IgG1 antibodies with a range of different payloads, including those hard to characterise by HPLC chromatography (eg. α -amanitin conjugates). The method demonstrated consistency for DAR determination of thiol-conjugated ADCs from DAR 1-8. ADCs with PBD and duocarmycin gave increasing challenges to LC-MS DAR determination. Alternative approaches to sample preparation, including enzymatic digestion strategies and further optimised ionisation strategies, could provide a route to PBD and duocarmycin conjugate analysis.

To find out more, or to get in touch, please visit www.sterlingpharmasolutions.com

Fig. 1 Schematic of the workflow used to generate ADC subunits for LC-MS analysis.




Fig. 2 Ion series for the three subunits (LC, Fc/2 and Fd) of a trastuzumab-MMAF DAR 7.7 conjugate.